

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,201	01/30/2004	Hiroki Yamamoto	503.35524CC3	5724
20457	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			RESAN, STEVAN A	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			1773	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/767,201	YAMAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stevan A. Resan	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
• • • •	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.L). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not	: received.				
Attachment(s)	: .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-30-2004.

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. The preliminary amendment filed 1-30-2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
- 1) a surface roughness of 5 nm or less and
- 2) a substrate made without a crystallizing treatment.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The present amendments to the specification and the claims filed with this continuation application are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because they introduce new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no new matter shall be introduced into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not

Application/Control Number: 10/767,201 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

supported by the original disclosure is as follows: 1) a surface roughness of 5 nm or less and 2) a substrate made without a crystallizing treatment.

The priority document and parent applications all clearly recite angstroms not nanometers at the indicated locations.

Since there is no definition of a "crystallizing treatment" nor the desirability to exclude crystals from the glass there is no basis for excluding "crystallizing treatments" especially in view of the specification teachings of heating of the glass to form a solid solution and subsequent annealing and cooling to form crystalline particles. While the crystalline portion is small as indicated by a 60% visible white light transmission it is nonetheless present i.e. blocking up to 40% of the light.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1773

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b and e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the references cited in the Notice of Decision to revoke JP 3 211683 as applied in the parent application 09/641,764.

Present claims 1-17 correspond to claims 1-5, 7, 9-11, 13-20 of the parent application except for the addition of new matter.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 7:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284

Application/Control Number: 10/767,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER